

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 23, 2021 8:38 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

Start General Rifle hunt on Wednesday close Sunday. 5 day hunt only. Change Dedicated hunter program bag limit to 1 buck deer in the 3 year program. Raise Dedicated Hunter tag fee to, \$300. Thank you for your work.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 25, 2021 11:03 am

Which best describes your position Neither agree nor disagree
regarding the proposed conservation
permit rule revisions?

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 26, 2021 11:53 am

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

Please raise the buck:doe ratio objective on the South Slope Vernal to match the other areas in the NE region. I agree that the tag numbers need to be cut, maybe even more than the recommendation.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 26, 2021 1:06 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations? Not all hunters are trophy hunters. The ability to give hunters different units with different management plans is the right way to plan.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the antlerless permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 26, 2021 1:26 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations? Strongly agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations? I agree with this. I actually encourage even less permits be released. non resident permits should be cut in half.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

The general elk season has become a joke with way too many people in the field. To increase the tag numbers is ridiculous. What's even more disagreeable to me is the number of general season archery elk tags is unlimited. There were WAY more people in the field last year compared to the year before, which made the hunt much less enjoyable. I expect that with the extended hunt dates this year, there will be even MORE people hunting. Please limit the number of general season archery elk tags to the number that was sold in 2019. I would welcome the extended hunt dates if that limit were in place.

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations? Strongly agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations? We need to lessen the deer tags as shown deer in the North Slope/ Daggett are doing terrible on numbers. 3 years ago was great but it has steeply declined since then.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations? Strongly disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations? We do not need more general bull elk tags. Last year was a rough year finding elk in all hunts. We need to keep the same or decrease. I hunted South Slope/ Bonanza, South Slope/ Yellowstone, and North Slope/ Daggett.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

With the extension of the dates for the general season archery elk hunt, the numbers of hunters is going to make the hunt enjoyable. Please limit the number of tags greatly, or break the hunt into 2 hunts and make people pick one, an early hunt for 14 days starting about Aug 18ish and a late hunt for 14 days ending about Sept 16. At least that would allow the same number of tags to be sold and still limit the number of people in the hills at any one time.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 27, 2021 6:31 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

The number of tags should be limited more and the target buck:doe ratio for the Vernal unit should be increased to match the other units in the region.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

The Bookcliffs needs to eliminate the spike elk hunt. I want to know what percent harvest has occurred over the past five years. I want to know what percent of the harvested bulls are getting tooth data accurately documented. I have hunted the Bookcliffs for 30 years and spend countless days on the unit. I have guided elk hunters on the Bookcliffs for over 20 years. I have watched as elk are being harvested that are NO WAY the age average on either the roadless or the road portion that match what is being claimed. A raghorn bull is not 7 years old, yet I see raghorn bulls being packed out the of the roadless at an alarming rate. The spike hunt has ruined the only truly backcountry elk unit in the state. A decrease from 20 tags to 6 is directly what Covey Jones said he intended to do (close the Bookcliffs mature bull hunt to allow for spike hunting) PERSONALLY TOLD TO ME BY COVEY. It is time to step up to the plate and close the spike elk hunt on the Bookcliffs. The herd is under objective and is in a decline in quality. The Bookcliffs was a great unit prior to the spike elk hunt, but has declined in quality due to the spike elk hunt. You cannot continue to kill spikes on the Bookcliffs and have a mature bull herd to hunt.

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed conservation permit rule revisions?

Neither agree nor disagree

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

The Bookcliffs needs to eliminate the spike elk hunt. I want to know what percent harvest has occurred over the past five years. I want to know what percent of the harvested bulls are getting tooth data accurately documented. I have hunted the Bookcliffs for 30 years and spend countless days on the unit. I have guided elk hunters on the Bookcliffs for over 20 years. I have watched as elk are being harvested that are NO WAY the age average on either the roadless or the road portion that match what is being claimed. A raghorn bull is not 7 years old, yet I see raghorn bulls being packed out the of the roadless at an alarming rate. The spike hunt has ruined the only truly backcountry elk unit in the state. A decrease from 20 tags to 6 is directly what Covey Jones said he intended to do (close the Bookcliffs mature bull hunt to allow for spike hunting) PERSONALLY TOLD TO ME BY COVEY. It is time to step up to the plate and close the spike elk hunt on the Bookcliffs. The herd is under objective and is in a decline in quality. The Bookcliffs was a great unit prior to the spike elk hunt, but has declined in quality due to the spike elk hunt. You cannot continue to kill spikes on the Bookcliffs and have a mature bull herd to hunt.

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed conservation permit rule revisions?

Neither agree nor disagree

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Strongly disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

Ten years ago we decided to test smaller hunt areas and a focus on Buck to Doe ratios in an effort to increase the deer population. Clearly this test is a failure, the deer herd has decreased in population and tags have decreased.

It is time to refocus our efforts on what will actually increase the deer herd, you increase the deer herd and everyone will be happy. The focus on cutting tags to increase the deer herd size is false, buck deer hunting doesn't increase or decrease the herd size.

Survival of the does and the fawns they produce are the most important element to increasing the deer herd.

Expand the hunt areas, let buck deer hunters take cougar and bear on their deer tags, quit cutting tag numbers and change your focus from micro managing the deer hunters to increasing the deer herd.

You have tested micro managing the hunters, we hunters have been patient but it isn't working, you have spend millions of dollars, the herd continues to decline and it is harder then ever to get a tag, you have had ten years and it is now time to admit a change is needed. Let the hunters have more hunt space and tags and focus on what is killing the does and fawns.

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

How come the public doesn't have the opportunity to vote on this problem instead of a room of " diverse constituencies"? There are ways to provide opportunity and still up the age class of deer. How about a 3 point or better or 4 point or better?? Opportunity is still there. Other states do it and don't go under subscribed on there applications. Maybe open back into larger regions and take the pressure off the smaller now over human populated units! Let's stop wagging war on mountain lions and actually try and manage that species to. The goal is to have a growing population for all species not just the mule deer. I am an avid hunter of all species and want to see healthy populations of all animals. The honest truth is not enough habitat for all species to flourish, wild horses, cattle, etc. If your only goal is to raise the deer herd population then quit hunting them until the numbers are where the biologists and the deverse want them. Or maybe double price, cut tags in half and take a few more years with some opportunity to raise the deer population. Take into account that some units don't need as much help as others.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 31, 2021 12:48 am

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations?

Neither agree nor disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

They need to make changes on the draw I have not drew a deer tag for three years and i know people who draw every year so i think they need to put a year waiting period on the draw so maybe you could draw a tag every other year or something

Form Name:
Submission Time:

April 2021 RAC Feedback
March 31, 2021 2:07 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the antlerless permit recommendations?

Strongly disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the antlerless permit recommendations?

Please do not remove the yellowstone unit, after draw applications have already been submitted. My entire family based all of our applications on yellowstone being an antlerless control unit. If youre going to remove it, please let is know BEFORE we make decisions about hunting seasons.
Not after

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations? It's nice to see the reductions on the bookcliffs. Definitely needs to happen.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the antlerless permit recommendations? Somewhat disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the antlerless permit recommendations? I don't feel like the data on the anthro is very accurate as far as numbers go. Might need to look in to a way to get more realistic numbers on that heard. Theirs a lot off access on that unit success should be 70 percent or better the numbers just don't add up.
I don't think the plan on the bookcliffs kill a bunch of cows is the best idea do to the fact people usually target young ainamals on antlerless hunt for better quality meat.

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMU antlerless permit recommendations? Neither agree nor disagree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed conservation permit rule revisions? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the buck deer permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Do you have any additional comments about the buck deer permit recommendations?

I appreciate the Division's response to the Drought and Predation on our deer. I would also like to share concerns on coyote issues along the areas of urban development. From Logan, Nephi to Kamas I see lots of coyotes in and around cities and would invite the Division and Wildlife Services to help deer in and around those areas to bolster the deer in units that seems to have high winter die off. I would also like to bring up unit 4/5/6. This unit has only 17% public land yet year after year 9k tags are issued for this unit. With the amount of Public land and being two access points to the major area it is overwhelming the amount of people to the amount of deer on that portion that is public. I ask that we look at separating this unit into smaller public land tags. Also with the traffic from the general public with atv, utv, campers on those two access points (Farmington Canyon / Skyline Drive above Bountiful) it makes the amount of travel on that area dangerous and potential for conflicts.

Which best describes your position regarding the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations? Neither agree nor disagree

Do you have any additional comments about the bull elk and pronghorn permit recommendations?

PLEASE get rid of the Multi season tag for Elk to include all three season's. Die hard Rifle hunters who know little about elk in pre rut / summer behavior have ruined the Archery experience. I talk specifically on the North Slope / south slope elk herd. These elk move over the wyoming border or onto private when pressured and give ZERO chance to have any sort of success. I suggest having the multi season tag changed to Muzzy & rifle season's only as those who have a hunters choice tag have opportunity to hunt extended units.

Which best describes your position regarding the once-in-a-lifetime permit recommendations? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the antlerless permit recommendations? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMU antlerless permit recommendations? Strongly agree

Do you have any additional comments about the CWMU antlerless permit recommendations?

I agree with the proposal and want to echo the statement from the central rac that we need to do more on CWMU's to push elk cows back onto public land with more tags to cwmu's. Elk on private land allow the public hunters to have zero success.

**Which best describes your position
regarding the proposed conservation
permit rule revisions?**

Strongly agree